

Jefferson County 2011 Redistricting Report

Tentative Supervisory District Plan Public Hearing

on
April 19, 2011

Under Wis. Stat. § 59.10, county governments in Wisconsin are required to redistrict following completion of the federal ten-year or “decennial” census. The primary purpose of this process, which is referred to as “decennial redistricting,” is to reflect population shifts that have occurred over the past ten years within counties as reflected by the results of the federal census. Decennial redistricting also provides counties with the opportunity to increase or decrease the size of their county boards by increasing or decreasing the number of supervisory districts in their redistricting plan.

A letter was sent to local clerks and highest elected officials on March 2nd on behalf of the Administration and Rules Committee requesting input for the redistricting process. Public Law 94-171 Census data was made available to county governments on the Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau website on March 21, 2011. This began the 60 day period in which counties must develop Tentative Supervisory District Plan, hold public hearing and adopt said plan.

The Administration and Rules Committee received input from one city clerk who appeared in person and discussed other input from two towns and one city official at their March 30th meeting. The Committee reviewed 27 district and 30 district plan concepts developed by the Land Information Office. The 30 district plan concept was selected by the Committee to direct development of the proposed Tentative Supervisory District Plan. The public hearing for the proposed Tentative Supervisory District Plan was scheduled for the April 19th County Board Meeting.

The detailed 30 district plan map developed by the Land Information Office was reviewed by the Administration and Rules Committee on April 12th. The Committee approved sending the proposed Tentative Supervisory District Plan without changes to the public hearing in April 19th.

Tentative Supervisory District Plan adoption is scheduled for the May 10th County Board meeting. The second 60 day period in the redistricting process will begin when the Tentative Supervisory District Plan is sent to the local municipalities. Local municipalities are required to develop ward plans that permit the creation of supervisory districts that conform to population requirements in Tentative Supervisory District Plan. When the ward plans are completed, the County is required to hold a public hearing and adopt the final Supervisory District Plan during the third 60 day period

Population Change by Municipality

The Decennial Census counted an additional 7,902 persons in Jefferson County on April 1 of 2010 to total 83,686. This was a 10.43% increase over the previous census in 2000. The 16 towns added 1,796 (6.3%) to their population compared to 6,106 (12.9%) for cities and villages.

Municipality	2010 Census	2000 Census	%Change	Population Change
T AZTALAN	1,457	1,447	0.69%	10
T COLD SPRING	727	766	-5.09%	-39
T CONCORD	2,072	2,023	2.42%	49
T FARMINGTON	1,380	1,498	-7.88%	-118
T HEBRON	1,094	1,135	-3.61%	-41
T IXONIA	4,385	2,902	51.10%	1,483
T JEFFERSON	2,178	2,395	-9.06%	-217
T KOSHKONONG	3,692	3,395	8.75%	297
T LAKE MILLS	2,070	1,936	6.92%	134
T MILFORD	1,099	1,055	4.17%	44
T OAKLAND	3,100	3,135	-1.12%	-35
T PALMYRA	1,186	1,145	3.58%	41
T SULLIVAN	2,208	2,124	3.95%	84
T SUMNER	832	904	-7.96%	-72
T WATERLOO	909	832	9.25%	77
T WATERTOWN	1,975	1,876	5.28%	99
V CAMBRIDGE	109	87	25.29%	22
V JOHNSON CREEK	2,738	1,581	73.18%	1,157
V LAC LA BELLE	1	0	0.00%	1
V PALMYRA	1,781	1,766	0.85%	15
V SULLIVAN	669	688	-2.76%	-19
C FORT ATKINSON	12,368	11,621	6.43%	747
C JEFFERSON	7,973	7,208	10.61%	765
C LAKE MILLS	5,708	4,843	17.86%	865
C WATERLOO	3,333	3,259	2.27%	74
C WATERTOWN	15,402	13,535	13.79%	1,867
C WHITEWATER	3,240	2,628	23.29%	612
Totals	83,686	75,784	10.43%	7,902

2001 Supervisory District Plan – 2010 Census Counts

The overall population deviation in the current districts is 42.9%. District 6 has 1,170 more persons than district 14. Only 9 districts fall into the 95% to 105% category.

2001 Supervisory Districts	2010 Census Counts	Percent of Ideal	Population Difference
1	2,741	98.3%	48
2	2,600	93.2%	189
3	3,328	119.3%	-539
4	2,499	89.6%	290
5	2,359	84.6%	430
6	3,464	124.2%	-675
7	2,787	99.9%	2
8	2,940	105.4%	-151
9	3,256	116.7%	-467
10	3,206	115.0%	-417
11	2,741	98.3%	48
12	3,429	122.9%	-640
13	3,443	123.4%	-654
14	2,294	82.3%	495
15	2,555	91.6%	234
16	2,543	91.2%	246
17	2,721	97.6%	68
18	2,957	106.0%	-168
19	2,729	97.8%	60
20	2,415	86.6%	374
21	2,496	89.5%	293
22	2,514	90.1%	275
23	2,505	89.8%	284
24	3,201	114.8%	-412
25	2,797	100.3%	-8
26	2,765	99.1%	24
27	2,696	96.7%	93
28	2,551	91.5%	238
29	2,731	97.9%	58
30	2,423	86.9%	366